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#### Abstract

The chemical reactivity of the clinically important antibiotic bicyclomycin (1) with thiols has been investigated. Emphasis has been placed on discerning the product profiles obtained with cysteine derivatives in light of earlier projections on the likely in vivo biological nucleophile in bicyclomycin-mediated transformations. Three different types of products were observed as a function of " pH ". At near neutral " pH " values, the novel piperidinedione adducts 16 were generated stereospecifically and efficiently. Correspondingly, under moderately basic conditions (" pH " $10.2-10.5$ ), the direct $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$-substituted compounds 14 were observed as the predominant products, while at higher pH values $(\mathrm{pH} 12.5)$ the ring-opened adducts 13 were isolated. New information concerning the importance of the $\mathrm{C}(1)$-triol group in the $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ functionalization process was also secured by comparing the reactivity of the two bicyclomycin derivatives: $3^{\prime}-S$-ethylbicyclomycin (2) and bicyclomycin- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-acetonide (4) versus 1. Both 2 and 4 did not react with thiols at room temperature at near neutral "pH". Elevation of the " pH " to 10.2 led to the formation of the corresponding $C(5 a)$-direct-substitution adducts. In no cases were piperidinedione products (i.e., 16) observed. Correspondingly, dissolution of 1,2 , and 4 , respectively, in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-enriched water-tetrahydrofuran mixtures ( ${ }^{\mathrm{pHH}} 7.8$ and 10.2 ) in the absence of thiols led to substantial amounts of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ incorporation in recovered samples of 1 , but not 2 and 4. Analysis of the composite data permitted an unifying mechanism to be proposed for thiolate-induced bicyclomycin processes. Furthermore, the role(s) of the $\mathrm{C}(1)$-triol substituent in these transformations is discussed.


Bicyclomycin (1) is a clinically useful antibiotic possessing a diverse spectrum of biological activity. ${ }^{1-4}$ Early biochemical studies Indicated that 1 expresses its activlty by disrupting bacterial cell wall growth. Key findings included the following: (1) the observation that 1 induces pronounced morphological changes in Escherichia coli; ${ }^{5}$ (2) the discovery that blcyclomycin Inhlblts in vivo bacterial RNA and protein synthesis but has no effect on DNA or lipid synthesis; ${ }^{6}$ (3) the demonstration that 1 forms irreversible, covalent 1:1 complexes with seven select Innermembrane proteins present in the Sarkosyl-soluble fraction of Escherichia coli (ATCC 27166) ${ }^{7}$; and (4) the observation that thiols inhibit drug binding to the inner-membrane proteins. ${ }^{7}$ These studies, coupled with others, ${ }^{8,9}$ served as the basis for several hypotheses pertaining to the mechanism of the drug-binding process. ${ }^{1,10-12}$ Although considerable controversy exists concerning this event, most proposals suggest that bicyclomycin reacts with nucleophlles (i.e., a protein sulfhydryl group) necessary for the remodeling of the peptidoglycan assembly within the bacterlal cell wall and that drug binding occurs at the exo-methylene group in 1.

In this paper, a detailed account of the reaction of bicyclomycin with sulfur-containing nucleophiles is provided. ${ }^{13}$ Emphasis has been placed on discerning the reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ with functionalized cysteine derlvatives in light of the earller projections of the llkely candidates for the biological nucleophile(s) in the bicyclomycinbinding process. New Information is provided on the importance
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of both " pH " and the $\mathrm{C}(1)$-triol group in facilltating drug activation as well as determining the product composition in these transformations.

## Results

a. Choice of Reagents and Conditions. Bicyclomycin (1) served as the parent compound in this study. In addition, the C(1)-triol group was selectively modified to discern the importance of this molety in these reactlons. Both the $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-S$-ethyl 2 and C ( $3^{\prime}$ )- $O$-ethylcarbamoyl $3^{14}$ derivatives were prepared to evaluate the effect of the primary hydroxyl group in 1 while acetonide $4^{15}$ was synthesized to ascertain the role of the $2^{\prime}$ - and $3^{\prime}$-hydroxyl groups. Synthesis of 2 was readily accompllshed by Initial preparation of epoxide $5,{ }^{14}$ followed by treatment with ethanethiol (6) in the presence of triethylamine. The primary sulfur-containing reagents chosen for evaluation with 1-4 were ethanethiol (6) and $N$-acetyl-L-cysteine $N^{\prime}$-methylamide (7). ${ }^{12.16}$ At select " pH " values, reactions were also conducted with methanethiol (8), benzyl mercaptan (9), ethyl 2 -mercaptoacetate (10), L-cystelne methyl ester (11), and $N$-acetyl-L-cysteine methyl ester (12). ${ }^{12,17}$ The

|  | RSH | $\mathrm{HSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{NHR})\left(\mathrm{COR}^{\prime}\right)$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 6: | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 7: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ |
| 8: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 11: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  |
| 9: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 12: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  |
| 10: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  |  |

8: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
10: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
reactions were run in water, tetrahydrofuran-water, or metha-

[^1]nol-water mixtures. Three approximate " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime}$ values (" pH " 7.4-8.2, 10.2-10.5, 12.5) spanning the neutral and basic regions were selected for study.
b. Reactivity of 1 with Thiols at $\mathbf{p H}$ 12.5. Treatment of aqueous solutions of 1 with ethanethiol (6), $N$-acetyl-l-cysteine $N^{\prime}$ methylamide (7), and methanethiol (8) at pH 12.5 led to the formation of the $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$-substituted ring-opened adducts 13a-c, respectively. In the case of the ethanethiol (6) and methanethiol (8) reactions, the resulting diastereomeric mixtures 13 a and 13 c , respectively, were not resolved by PTLC. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analyses of these products indicated, however in each case that two major adducts were generated in an approximate 1.4:1 ratio. ${ }^{13}$ Fortunately, repeated PTLC chromatography of the cysteine 7 -mediated reaction permitted the isolation of $\mathbf{1 3 b - 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 b}-\mathbf{2}$. No attempt was made to discern the precise stereochemical identity of these two isomers.


Several distinctive features in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 13a-c proved helpful in characterizing the structure of these products. Two multiplets were observed in the ${ }^{\prime}$ ' NMR spectra of 13 and have been assigned to the $C(5 a)$-methylene hydrogens on the basis of their chemical shift value ${ }^{18}$ and the connectivity patterns discerned in the corresponding COSY spectrum. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for 13 large downfield shifts were noted for the $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)(\sim 7 \mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)(\sim 9 \mathrm{ppm})$, and $\mathrm{C}(6)(\sim 20 \mathrm{ppm})$ resonances as compared to $1 .{ }^{19}$ Moreover, the chemical shift value ( $101.86-103.50 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) observed for the $\mathrm{C}(6)$ peak was in agreement with the proposed formation of a hemiacetal molety at this site. ${ }^{20}$ Addtional data in support of the structural assignments for 13a and 13c was provided by the COSY, proton double quantum coherence, ${ }^{21}$ heteronuclear chemical shift correlation, ${ }^{22}$ and long-range heteronuclear multiple quantum chemlcal shift correlation (HMBC) ${ }^{23}$ NMR studies. In particular, several longrange proton-carbon connectivittes (i.e., $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{O}-$ $\left.H-C(5), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H-C(1)\right)$ were observed in the HMBC experlment consistent with the proposed molecular framework depicted for 13. ${ }^{13}$

The assignment of the methanethlolate adduct obtained from the pH 12.5 experiment as the ring-opened product 13 c constitutes a structural revision from the original assigned product. Earlier investigations had proposed the isomeric structure 14a for this compound. ${ }^{8}$ Additlonal support for the revision was secured by the preparation of an authentic sample of 14a. Treatment of acetonide 4 with 8 in a 1:1 tetrahydrofuran-water mlxture (" pH " 12.5) gave a $2.5: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of the $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{sa})$-sulfide 15a. Removal of the acetonide linkage with aqueous $50 \%$ acetic acid furnished a 1.9:1 diastereomeric mixture of 14a. In agreement wlth the proposed structure, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum for 14 a
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```
14a R = SCH3 b \(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad\) b \(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\) d \(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\) e \(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}\)
```

15a $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ £ $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHC}_{\left.(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)}\right.$ \& $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$
closely matched that for $1^{19}$ except for the $\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ resonances.
c. Reactivity of 1 with Thiols at " pH " 10.2-10.5. Reduction of the " pH " of the ethanethiol (6) and $N$-acetyl-L-cysteine $N$ ". methylamide (7) mediated reactions led to dramatic changes in the observed product profiles. Ring-opened products 13a and 13b were not observed. Instead the ethanethiol (6) reaction in tet-rahydrofuran-water (3:1) mixtures (" pH " 10.2 ) gave the direct $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$-substituted product $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ along with piperidinedione 16a. ${ }^{11}$


Analysis of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for both 14 b and $16 a$ indicated that each product was generated stereospecifically. A slightly different result was observed by using $7 \ln$ a $9: 1$ methanol-water mixture (" $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime} 10.4$ ). In this case, the reaction proceeded to give only 14 c as a $3.7: 1$ mixture of diastereomers ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis). A comparable result ( $\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{1 1} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1 4 d}$ ) was obtained by using L-cysteine methyl ester (11) in a tetrahydrofuran-water (3:1) mixture.

The $C(5 a)$-substituted compounds 14 were readily assigned on the basis of the close correspondence of the NMR chemical shift values observed for these products versus bicyclomycin itself. ${ }^{19}$ The only significant differences between 14 and 1 were those resonances associated with the $C(5)-C(5 a)$ exo-methylene unit and were in agreement with the proposed $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$-sulfur substitution products (Tables I and II).
d. Reactivity of 1 with Thiols at " $\mathrm{pH}^{7}$ 7.4-8.2. The thiolmediated reactions conducted at near neutral " $\mathrm{pH}^{2}$ values (" pH " 7.4-8.2) commanded the most attention. Treatment of 1 with $6,7,9$, and 12 in either tetrahydrofuran-water (3:1) or metha-nol-water ( $9: 1$ ) mixtures led to the formation of the novel C -(5a)-substituted piperidinediones 16a-d, respectively. ${ }^{11,12}$ Each of these reactions proceeded stereospecifically to give a single product ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analyses). A comparable result was also initially observed for the reaction of 1 with ethyl 2-mercaptoacetate (10). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of a freshly prepared methanol- $d_{4}$ solution of the reaction product indicated the formation of $\mathbf{1 6 e}$. However, upon standing ( 1 day) 16e was cleanly converted to the $\mathrm{C}(6)$ modified product 17.



17

Table I. Characteristic 'H NMR Data for C(5a)-Substituted Bicyclomycin Derivatives 14, 15, 18, and $19^{9}$

| compd | $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}$ | C (4) $\mathrm{HH}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{HH}$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14 a^{b}$ | 3.72-4.02 (m) | 3.72-4.02 (m) | 2.02-2.42 (m) | 2.02-2.42 (m) | 2.02-2.42 (m) | 3.02-3.15 (m) | 4.03 (s) | 1.32 (s) | $\begin{gathered} 3.52(\mathrm{t}, J= \\ 12.00 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 12.00 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $14 b^{6}$ | 3.76-3.80 (m) | 3.95-4.00 (m) | 2.06-2.26 (m) | 2.06-2.26 (m) | 2.06-2.26 (m) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ & 11.60 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 4.03 (s) | 1.32 (s) | $\begin{gathered} 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 11.38 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 11.38 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $14 c^{\text {b }}$ | 3.72-4.01 (m) | 3.72-4.01 (m) | 1.80-2.50 (m) | 1.80-2.50 (m) | 1.80-2.50 (m) | $\begin{gathered} 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 12.62 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | 4.03, 4.04 (s) | 1.34 (s) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ & 11.23 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 11.23 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 14d | 3.64-4.01 (m) | 3.64-4.01 (m) | 1.88-2.43 (m) | 1.88-2.43 (m) | 1.88-2.43 (m) | $\begin{gathered} 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, \\ J=6.70, \\ 12.25 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | 4.03, 4.04 (s) | 1.32 (s) | $\begin{gathered} 3.53 \text { (app t, } \\ J=10.81 \\ \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | 3.64-4.01 (m) |
| 14e | $\begin{gathered} 3.78(\mathrm{dd}, \\ J=8.35, \\ 13.69 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.03 \text { (dd, } \\ J=8.35, \\ 13.69 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60-1.72(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1.87-2.08(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 2.13-2.22 (m) | $\sim^{\text {c }}$ | $\sim^{\text {c }}$ | 4.02 (s) | 1.33 (s) | $\begin{gathered} 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 11.40 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ & 11.40 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 15a | 3.70-4.07 (m) | 3.70-4.07 (m) | 1.88-2.45 (m) | 1.88-2.45 (m) | 1.88-2.45 (m) | 3.02-3.16 (m) | 4.09 (s) | 1.35 (s) | $\begin{gathered} 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 15b | $3.65-3.71$ (m) | 3.76-3.89 (m) | 1.85-2.31 (m) | 1.85-2.31 (m) | 1.85-2.31 (m) | 3.11-3.17 (m) | 4.07, 4.08 (s) | 1.35 (s) | $3.65-3.71$ (m) | 4.41-4.46 (m) |
| 15c | 3.76-4.04 (m) | 3.76-4.04 (m) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.86-1.92(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 2.14-2.26(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 2.14-2.26 (m) | 2.14-2.26 (m) | 3.15-3.21 (m) | 4.09 (s) | 1.35 (s) | $\begin{gathered} 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | 4.43-4.48 (m) |
| 18 | 3.72-4.03 (m) | 3.72-4.03 (m) | 1.88-2.44 (m) | 1.88-2.44 (m) | 1.88-2.44 (m) | $\begin{gathered} 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 12.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | 4.18, 4.20 (s) | 1.36 (s) | 2.68-2.95 (m) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.02,3.06 \\ & (2 \mathrm{~d}, J= \\ & 13.42 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | 3.60-4.40 (m) | 3.60-4.40 (m) | 1.70-2.55 (m) | 1.70-2.55 (m) | 1.70-2.55 (m) | 3.04-3.24 (m) | $3.60-$ | 1.33 (s) | 3.60-4.40 (m) | $3.60-4.40$ (m) |

${ }^{a}$ The number in each entry is the chemical shift value ( $\delta$ ) observed in ppm relative to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$, followed by the multiplicity of the signal and the coupling constants(s) in hertz. All spectra were recorded at 300 MHz , and the solvent used was $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$. ${ }^{b}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were verified from the corresponding COSY spectrum. ${ }^{c}$ The $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$-proton signals appeared as a three proton doublet $(J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at $\delta 1.06$. ${ }^{d}$ This peak overlapped with nearby signals.

Table II. Characteristic ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data for $\mathrm{C}(5 a)$-Substituted Bicyclomycin Derivatives 14, 15, 18, and $19{ }^{\text {a }}$

| compd | C(1) | C(3) | C (4) | C(5) | C(5a) | $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | C( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14a | 89.35 | 62.17 | $33.18{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 52.10 | $30.06^{\text {b }}$ | 83.71 | 72.62 | 78.03 | 24.20 | 68.55 |
|  |  | 63.36 | 34.23 |  | 30.65 |  | 72.73 |  |  |  |
| 14b | 89.34 | 62.02 | $29.95{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 52.48 | $31.32^{\text {b }}$ | 83.72 | 72.25 | 78.12 | 24.19 | 68.51 |
| 14c | 89.33 | 62.01 | $30.05^{\text {b }}$ | 52.52 | $32.11^{\text {b }}$ | 83.61 | 72.32 | 78.09 | 24.20 | 68.51 |
|  |  | 63.26 |  |  |  |  |  | 78.73 |  | 69.00 |
| 14d | 89.35 | 62.03 | $29.94{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $52.55{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $31.30^{\text {b }}$ | 83.44 | 72.15 | 78.13 | 24.18 | 68.49 |
|  | 89.58 | 63.22 | 30.52 | 52.70 | 32.21 | 83.57 | 72.23 |  |  |  |
| $14 \mathrm{e}^{d}$ | 87.68 | 60.58 | 33.16 | 45.01 | 15.20 | 82.70 | 70.62 | 76.89 | 23.80 | 66.63 |
| 15a | 88.55 | 63.60 | $32.79^{\text {b }}$ | 52.20 | $29.98{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 83.75 | $73.34{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 86.22 | 24.68 | $73.90^{\text {c }}$ |
|  |  |  | 34.57 |  | 30.54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15b | 88.71 | 63.38 | $29.88{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 52.35 | $31.61^{\text {b }}$ | 83.74 | $73.23{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 86.34 | 24.84 | $73.49^{\text {c }}$ |
|  |  | 63.52 | 30.32 |  |  |  | 73.33 |  |  |  |
| 15c | 88.66 | 63.62 | $30.14^{6}$ | 52.68 | $31.40^{\text {b }}$ | 83.66 | $73.29^{\text {c }}$ | 86.31 | 24.78 | $73.57^{c}$ |
|  |  |  | 30.60 |  | 32.51 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 89.87 | 62.17 | $30.23{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 52.58 | $31.69{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 83.47 | 72.26 | 79.01 | $26.09^{e}$ | 43.04 |
|  |  | 63.19 | 30.73 |  | 32.20 | 83.57 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 89.48 | 61.83 | $30.06^{\text {b }}$ | 52.29 | $31.56{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 83.69 | 71.79 | 77.06 | 24.29 | 70.63 |
|  |  | 62.13 | 30.17 |  |  |  |  |  | 24.39 |  |

${ }^{a}$ The number in each entry is the chemical shift value ( $\delta$ ) observed in ppm relative to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$. All spectra were obtained at 75.5 MHz . The solvent used was $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ unless otherwise indicated. ${ }^{b}$ These peaks may be interchanged. ${ }^{c}$ These peaks may be interchanged. ${ }^{d}$ The solvent used was


The key spectral properties associated with 16 have been previously described, Including the X-ray crystallographic structure of 16a. ${ }^{11,12}$ In particular, characteristic signals were noted for the $C(6)$ and $C(9)$ resonances between 194.15-195.27 and 95.79-99.16 ppm, respectively. Information in support of the proposed structural assignment 17 was secured from several sources. Rearrangement of $16 \mathrm{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 7}$ was associated with the disappearance of the signal at $\delta 3.30-3.34$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the thiol methylene protons ( $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) and the appearance of a new peak between $84.56-86.20 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum for the $\mathrm{C}(6)$ carbon. Definitive proof for the proposed structure was provided by the X-ray crystallographic analysis of 17 (Figure 1).
e. Reactivity of Modified Bicyclomycin Derivatives 2-4 with Thiols. In an effort to discern the factors which govern the pronounced structural patterns in the product profiles for these transformations as a function of " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime}$ ", selectively modified derivatives of 1 were prepared and then treated with thlols at various " pH " values. Addition of $N$-acetyl-L-cysteine $N^{\prime}$-methylamide (7) to a tetrahydrofuran-water (3:1) mixture of $3^{\prime}-S$-ethylbicyclomycin (2) at "pH" 7.8 led to no noticeable consumption of 2 after 48 h (TLC analysis). Increasing the " pH " to 10.2 led to the formation of 18 as the only major product in a 1.7:1 diastereomeric ratio. These results were mirrored with use of $3^{\prime}-O-$ (ethylcarbamoyl)bicyclomycin (3). At near neutral " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime}$ " (tet-


Figure 1. View of the molecule with atom labeling scheme. The nonhydrogen atoms are shown as isotropic spheres ( $20 \%$ equiprobability envelopes), and hydrogens, as spheres of arbitrary diameter.
rahydrofuran-water ( $3: 1$ ), " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime 7} 7$ ) no reaction was observed upon treatment of $\mathbf{3}$ with ethyl mercaptan (6). Replacement of 6 with the less volatile thiol benzyl mercaptan (9) and elevation of the temperature to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ permitted the conversion of 3 to a

Scheme 1. Proposed Pathway for Bicyclomycin Transformalions wilh Sulfur Nucleophiles

1.6:1 diastereomeric mixture of the $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$-substituted products 19 ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis).


18 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHC}_{(0)} \mathrm{OH}_{3}\right)$

$12 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

The results secured in the $3^{\prime}$-monofunctionalized bicyclomycin derivative (i.e., 2 and 3) studies were reinforced by using $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}-$ acetonide 4. No reaction was noted upon treatment of 4 with 6 , 7, or 9 at near neutral " pH " in either tetrahydrofuran-water (3:1) or methanol-water ( $9: 1$ ) mixtures. Elevation of the temperature of the benzyl mercaptan (9) reaction led to the slow ( 40 h ) production of the $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$-substituted product $\mathbf{1 5 b}$. Adjustment of the " pH " of these reactions to 10.2 permitted the conversion of 4 with 7 to 15c ( 16 h ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis indicated that two diastereomers were generated in an approximate 1.9:1 ratio. Further increases in the " pH " of the reaction medium to 12.5 increased the rate of formation of $15 \mathrm{c}(25 \mathrm{~min})$, but did not alter the initial product composition. Upon standing at this " pH " value, 15c was converted to several unidentified, more polar adducts (TLC analysis). A comparable product (15a) was obtained upon treatment of acetonide 4 with sodium methanethiolate at " pH " 12.5 (see: Reactivity of 1 with Thiols at pH 12.5 ).
f. Competition Studies of Bicyclomycin (1) and Modified Bicyclomycin Derivatives $(2,4)$ with $\boldsymbol{N}$-Acetyl-L-cysteine $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$ Methylamide (7). The influence of the $\mathrm{C}(1)$-triol group on the drug modification process was gauged by treating binary mixtures of either 1 and 2 or $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ with 1 equiv of thiol 7. TLC analysis of the reaction mixtures generated from both sets of experiments conducted at " pH " 7.4 (tetrahydrofuran-water, 3:1) indicated that the sole product was $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ along with unreacted modified bicyclomycin ( $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{4}$ ) and a trace of $\mathbf{1}$. Elevation of the " pH " of the reaction decreased the selectivity of the reaction. At " pH " 10.2 (tetrahydrofuran-water, 3:1), TLC analysis of the competition
study of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 with 7 demonstrated that 1 was consumed to a greater extent than 2 and the presence of $\mathbf{1 4 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ as well as 18 along with unreacted starting material (1 and 2). Repetition of this experiment using 1 and $\mathbf{4}$ with 7 indicated that both 1 and 4 were partially consumed to give 14c, 16b, and 15c. TLC analysis did not permit us to assess the relative amounts of each of these products. A comparable result was observed at "pH" 12.5 (tet-rahydrofuran-water, 1:1) for the competition study of 1 and 4 with 7. Compounds $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ and 15 c were both detected in the product mixture (TLC analysis).

## Discussion

Despite the marked structural variation in product types observed in this study, the thiolate-mediated bicyclomycin processes appear to stem from a common reaction pathway (Scheme I). Initial ring opening of the $\mathrm{C}(6)$-hemiaminal bond gives enone $\mathbf{2 0}$. Subsequent conjugate addition of the sulfur-containing nucleophile generates 21 or the corresponding enolate anion. At near neutral " pH " values (" pH " 7.4-8.2) an intramolecular mixed Claisen condensation proceeds to give 23 and ammonia. Cyclization of 23 in the final step yields the observed hemiketal $16 .{ }^{11,12}$ Correspondingly, at intermediate " pH " values (i.e., " pH " $10.2-10.5$ ), protonation of the enol system at the $\mathrm{C}(5)$-carbon, followed by reclosure of the ring to generate piperazinedione 14 becomes the dominant process. Finally, at pH 12.5 , formation of 22 precedes ring cleavage of the remaining $\mathrm{C}(1)$-aminal bond to give 24. Subsequent ring closure furnishes the bis(tetrahydrofuranyl) derivatives $13 .{ }^{13}$ In the case of the ethyl 2 -mercaptoacetate (10) mediated reaction at " pH " 8.2 , generation of $\mathbf{1 6 e}$ is followed by a second intramolecular Claisen condensation to give 17. The susceptibility of the pyruvamide carbonyl system in 16 to undergo nucleophilic substitution processes has been previously described. ${ }^{12}$ Treatment of the $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$-monofunctionalized adducts $16 \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{1 6 b}$, and 16d with select primary amines $\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ led to the cleavage of the piperidine ring system and the formation of the bis-alkylated product 25.

The proposed scenario outlined in Scheme I is in agreement with the mechanism previously postulated by us for the reaction of bicyclomycin with amines. ${ }^{24}$ In an effort to discern the origin
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of the ring-cleaved products 13 , dlhydrobicyclomycin ${ }^{3 b}$ (14e) was prepared. Compound $14 e$ can be viewed as the simplest possible C(5a)-substituted bicyclomycin derivative. Dissolution of $\mathbf{1 4 e}$ in aqueous base ( pH 12.5 ) for 45 min gave 13 d as the only isolable product. This transformation suggests that the ring-cleaved products 13 in the thlol-medlated reactions are generated after initial formation of 14, or directly from intermediate 22. The conversion of $\mathbf{1 4 e}$ to 13 d differs from the observation reported by Williams and co-workers concerning the reactivity of 27, a C-(5a)-substituted bicyclomycin derivative lacking the $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ - and $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$-hydroxyl groups. ${ }^{25}$ Detailed analysis of the product profile

obtained after incubating 27 in deuterium oxide (pD 12.5) led the Colorado State University workers to conclude that 27 was incapable of undergoing further ring-opening reactions. Support for the proposed pathway for the generation of 13 was also derived from the reaction of acetonide 4 with 7 and 8 at " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime}$ " 12.5 . Blockage of the $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-diol groups prevented the formation of a bis(tetrahydrofuranyl) derivative (i.e., 13). In this case, only the direct $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$-substitution product 15 was observed.

Additional information concerning the role of the $\mathrm{C}(1)$-triol group in both the blcyclomycin-activation and the bi-cyclomycin-modification processes was secured by examining the reactivity of the modified derivatives 2 and 4 versus 1. Several important observations were noted. First, at room temperature, no significant reaction of either $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{4}$ with $\mathbf{7}$ was observed at " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime}$ 8.2. Second, removal of either the $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$-hydroxy group or the $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$-diol moiety prevented the formation of bi-cyclomycin-derived piperidinedione-type adducts (i.e., 16). Third, drug modification at $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ at " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime} 10.2$ (3:1 tetrahydrofuranwater) proceeded more rapidly with 1 and 4 than with 2. These combined results suggest that the $C(1)$-triol moiety plays an important role in the ring opening of the distal $C(6)$-hemiaminal group. In an effort to document this conjecture, compounds 1, 2, and 4 were Incubated ( $16-24 \mathrm{~h}$ ) with ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-enriched water ( $98 \%$ ${ }^{18} \mathrm{OH}_{2}$ ) at " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime} 7.8$ and 10.2 in the absence of thiols. In each experiment, the starting material was recovered, purified, and analyzed by mass spectrometry (FAB). Appreciable amounts ( $20-30 \%$ ) of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ incorporation were detected in recovered bicyclomycin from the " pH " 7.8 and 10.2 experiments, whlle no ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ incorporation was detected in the analogous reactions performed with bicyclomycin derivatives 2 and 4 . This data suggested that the unmodifled triol group in 1 facllitated the ring-opening of the $\mathrm{C}(6)$-hemiaminal bond to give enone 20 , which then underwent hydration and oxygen exchange under the employed conditions. ${ }^{26}$

[^3]What, then, is the role of the $\mathrm{C}(1)$-triol group in these transformations? Our results indicate that alteration of the triol group significantly effected the $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ drug functionallzation processes which proceeded at near neutral "pH" values. Several potential explanations can be offered to account for this finding. The first suggests that the triol group facilitated both the ringopening and the product-determining steps (i.e., Scheme I, $1 \rightarrow$ $20,21 \rightarrow 23$ ) by donating a hydrogen bond to the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{N}$ -(10)-amide group. Williams and co-workers have previously argued that intramolecular proton transfer or hydrogen bonding from the $C(1)$-hydroxy group is a necessary requirement for functionalization of the exo-methylene group in model bicyclomycin 26 at $p H$ 12.5. 1,25 A slmilar phenomenon may be occurring in the present study, contributing to the enhanced reactivity of 1 versus 2 and 4 at near neutral " pH " values. Unfortunately, the precise identity of the hydrogen bond cannot be made. We suspect that modification of either the $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$-hydroxyl group (i.e., 2) or the $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$-diol moiety (i.e., 4) will adversely effect the ability of the entire triol substituent to hydrogen bond to the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{N}(10)$-amide group. Alternatively, $\mathrm{C}(6)$-hemiaminal bond cleavage may be initiated by a general-base-catalyzed process involving the $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$-hydroxyl oxygen atom (i.e., 28). In this pathway, additional hydrogen-bonding interactions with the remaining triol hydroxyl groups with the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{N}(10)$ amide bond system may occur, further facilitating drug modification. In agreement with this proposal the decreased chemical reactivity of $\mathbf{2}$ versus $\mathbf{1}$ at near neutral " pH " values can be attributed (in part) to the decreased ability of the $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$-sulfide group to hydrogen bond to an intervening water molecule versus the C -(3')-hydroxy moiety ${ }^{27}$ in 1 , thereby decreasing the likelihood of $\mathrm{C}(6)$-hemiaminal bond cleavage. Although both mechanistic scenarios are compatible with the observed data, the structural complexity of $\mathbf{1}$ demands that additional information be secured to define the factors which control the bicyclomycin-functionalization process.
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## Conclusions

This study has provided an intriguing view of the finely tuned reactivity of bicyclomycin and the factors which dictate the drug modification process at the exo-methylene group with thiols. These reactions are considered to be among the most important for the antibiotic in light of earlier projections for the likely biological receptor in the drug-binding process. In all cases, bicyclomycin modification proceeded at $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{5a})$. The precise product generated was dependent upon the " pH " of the reaction medium and the substitution pattern at the $\mathrm{C}(1)$-triol group. A common intermediate has been invoked to explain the results. Several potential explanations have been offered to account for the observed variations in thls study.

## Experimental Section

General Methods. Infrared spectra (IR) were run on either a Per-kin-Elmer 283 or Perkin-Elmer 1330 infrared spectrophotometer and callbrated against the $1601-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ band of polystyrene. Absorption values are expressed in wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Proton ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) and carbon ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were taken on Nicolet NT-300 and General Electric QE-300 NMR instruments. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are in parts per million ( ppm ) relative to $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ and coupling constants ( $J$ values) are in hertz. Low-resolution FAB mass spectral
(27) For a discussion of the hydrogen-bonding properties of alcohols and sulfides, see: Jorgensen, W. J. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 6379.
investigalions were conducled al the University of Texas at Austin on a Finnegan TSQ-70 instrument by Dr. David Laude and Mr. Jerry Hogan. The FAB mass spectra at the Baylor College of Medicine were performed on a VG ZAB-SEQ and VG JS250 instruments by Dr. Simon Gaskell and Mr. Ralph Orkiszewski, while the El mass speciral studles were conducted on a VG JS250 inslrument. pH measurements were determined on a Radiometer pHM26 meter using a Radiometer G202 glass eleclrode.

The solvents and reactants were of the best commercial grade available and were used without further purification unless noted. Thin- and thick-layer chromatography were run on precoated silica gel GHLF microscope slides ( $2.5 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$; Analtech No. 21521) or silica gel GHLF ( $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$; Analtech No. 11187)

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1) and Potassium Ethanethiolate at pH 12.5. An aqueous solution ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} . \mathrm{pH} 12.5$ ) of $\mathbf{1}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.165 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium ethanethiolate ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at room temperature ( 45 min ). The solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in methanol ( 2 mL ), and the insoluble material was filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and was purified by PTLC using $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform as the eluent (two developments) to yield 13a ( 10 $\mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ ) as a semisolid: $R_{f} 0.50$ ( $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform); IR (KBr) $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; 'H NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.22\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.76-1.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.18-2.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 2.35-2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.85-2.98$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H$ ) . 3.82-3.98 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(3) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, 4.03-4.12 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) 14.63, $14.72\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.56,23.64\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.14\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 29.52, 29.73 (C(5a) or $\mathrm{C}(4)), 29.86,30.15$ (C(4) or $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 46.52,46.63$ ( $C(5)), 66.75,66.95(C(3)), 74.77,74.83\left(C\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 76.04,76.14\left(C\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $78.85,78.98\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right) .88 .07,88.21(\mathrm{C}(1)), 101.86,102.01(\mathrm{C}(6)), 169.39$, 169.51 (C(7)), 171.84 (C(9)) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis indicated that the product existed as a $1.4: 1$ diastereomeric mixture. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the APT experiment. MS (+FAB) 387 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1) with $\boldsymbol{N}$-Acetyl-L-cysteine $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Methylamide (7) at pH 12.5. Cysteine $7(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.284 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1}(50 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.165 mmol ) were dissolved in water ( 2.5 mL ), and the pH of the solution was adjusted to 12.5 . The solution was then degassed with argon ( 15 min ) and capped, and the solution was then stirred at room temperature ( 45 min ). The solvenl was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $25 \%$ methanol-chloroform as the eluent to give a binary mixture ( $R_{f} 0.28,0.32 ; 20 \%$ methanol-chloroform); yield 13 mg ( $16 \%$ ) as a semisolid. The mixture was further purified by PTLC using $20 \%$ methanol-chloroform (four developments) to give the following compounds.

Compound $\mathbf{1 3 b}-1$ : yield $3.5 \mathrm{mg}(4 \%)$ as a semisolid; $R_{f} 0.32$ ( $20 \%$ methanol-chloroform); IR (KBr) $1690,1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ $\delta 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.79-1.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHCOC} H_{3}\right), 2.21-2.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.66$ (dd, $J=9.07,13.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCHH}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $2.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONHCH} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.50,13.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{SCH} H^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $3.00-3.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right)$, 3.82-3.98 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 4.06-4.12$ (m, 1 H , $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H), 4.51$ (dd, $J=5.50,9.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)$. $\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 22.55\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right), 23.29(\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.39\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right), 31.25,31.42(\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 34.67(\mathrm{SC}-$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)\right), 53.62\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{NH}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $69.00(\mathrm{C}(3)), 77.11,78.12,80.60\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 89.69$ $(\mathrm{C}(1)), 103.50(\mathrm{C}(6)), 173.39,173.59(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ppm}$. The remaining two carbonyl peaks were not detected, and the $\mathrm{C}(5)$ resonance is believed to be beneath the solvenl peak. $M_{\mathrm{f}}(+\mathrm{FAB}) 479.18160[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}, 479.18118$ ).

Compound 13b-2: yield 3 mg (4\%) as a semisolid; $R_{f} 0.28(20 \%$ methanol-chloroform); $1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1690,1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ $\delta 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.80-1.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ $\left.\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.20-2.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONHCH} 3$ ), 2.78-2.94 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{SCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)\right), 3.85-3.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 4.03-4.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 4.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.91,8.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 22.64$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right), 23.31\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.42\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right), 31.27,32.63(\mathrm{C}-$ (4), $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 34.99\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), 55.24 (SC$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $68.85(\mathrm{C}(3)), 77.07,78.11,80.66$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 89.72$ (C(1)), 103.24 (C(6)), 173.36, 175.36 (CO) ppm . The remaining two carbonyl peaks were not detected, and the $\mathrm{C}(5)$ resonance is believed to be beneath the solvent peak. $M_{\mathrm{r}}$ (+FAB) $479.18157[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}, 479.18118$ ).

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1) with Sodium Methanethiolate at pH 12.5. An aqueous solution ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{pH} 12.5$ ) of $1(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.165 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
and sodium melhanethiolate ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at room temperature ( 40 min ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform as the eluent (1wo developments) to yield $13 \mathrm{c}(8 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%)$ as a semisolid: $R_{f}$ 0.50 ( $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform); 1R (KBr) $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (C$\left.\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.75-1.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.07$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ), 2.22-2.35 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 2.40-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.80-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H), 3.83-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(3) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 4.03-4.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ 15.38, $15.66\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 23.41,23.45\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.28,31.37(\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 34.21,34.29(\mathrm{C}(4)), 48.05(\mathrm{C}(5)), 68.76$, 68.81 ( $\mathrm{C}(3)$ ), 76.88, 76.97 ( $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ ), 78.04, $78.09\left(\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 80.63\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $89.73,89.81(\mathrm{C}(1)), 103.40(\mathrm{C}(6)), 173.13$ (C(7)), 175.37 (C(9)) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis indicated that the product existed as a 1.4:1 diastereomeric mixture. MS (+FAB) $351[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1) with Ethanethiol (6) at "pH" 10.2. A solution of $1(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.132 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $6(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran-waler ( $3: 1,5 \mathrm{~mL}, " \mathrm{pH}$ " 10.2 ) was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $20 \%$ methanol-chloroform to give the following compounds.

Compound 16a: yield $12 \mathrm{mg}(26 \%)$; mp $216-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{11.12 \mathrm{mp}}$ $216-218^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Compound 14b: yield $8 \mathrm{mg}(19 \%)$ as a semisolid; $R_{j} 0.52(15 \%$ methanol-chloroform); 1R (KBr) $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.23$ ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, J=7.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.06-2.26$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.46-2.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.76-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.95-4.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 4.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 15.14\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.19\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $26.85\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.95,31.32(\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}))$, 52.48 (C(5)), 62.02 $(\mathrm{C}(3)), 68.51\left(\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 72.25\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right), 78.12\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 83.72(\mathrm{C}(6)), 89.34$ (C(1)), 168.84, 172.11 (C(7), C(9)) ppm; $M_{\mathrm{r}}$ (+FAB) $387.1367[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa} 387.1202$ ).

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1) with $\boldsymbol{N}$-Acetyl-L-cysteine $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Methylamide (7) at " pH " 10.4. A solution of $1(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $7(25$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a methanol-water mixture ( $9: 1,3.5 \mathrm{~mL}$, " pH " 10.4 ) was degassed with argon ( 15 min ), capped, and then stirred at room temperature ( 2 days). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform (two developments) as the eluent to give 14 c : yield $5 \mathrm{mg}(11 \%)$ as a semisolid; $R_{f} 0.35$ ( $20 \%$ methanol-chloroform); lR (KBr) $1690,1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.80-2.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCOCH}$ ) $2.65-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCHH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)\right), 2.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.92\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.13,13.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH} H^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ( $\mathrm{NHCONHCH}_{3}$ ) , $3.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=11.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right)$, 3.72-4.01 (m, 2 H, C(3) HH'), 4.03, 4.04 ( $\left.2 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right), 4.46(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J=5.13,8.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 22.54\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right), 24.20\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $26.41\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right), 30.05,32.11(\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 35.11\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{CO}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $52.52(\mathrm{C}(5)), 54.39,54.88\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{CONH}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $62.01,63.26(\mathrm{C}(3)), 68.51\left(\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 72.32\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $78.09,78.73$ (C(2')), 83.61 (C(6)), 89.33 ( $\mathrm{C}(1)$ ), 168.81, 171.94 (C(7), $\left.\mathrm{C}(9)), 173.31,173.36\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}, \mathrm{NHCOCH}\right)_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ analysis indicated that the product existed as a $3.7: 1$ diastereomeric mixture. MS (+FAB) $479[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$.

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1) with L-Cysteine Methyl Ester (11) at " $\mathrm{pH}^{1}$ 10.5. Cysteine 11 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.233 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{1}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.198 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in tetrahydrofuran-water ( $3: 1,8 \mathrm{~mL}, " \mathrm{pH}$ " 10.5 ), degassed with argon ( 15 min ), and then capped. The solution was stirred at room temperature ( 24 h ) and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude material was purified by PTLC using $20 \%$ methanol-chloroform as the eluent to give the product and unreacted $1(28 \mathrm{mg})$. This mixture was further purified by PTLC using $20 \%$ methanol-chloroform (two developments) 10 give 14 d : yield 17 mg ( $20 \%$ ); mp $123-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.35$ ( $20 \%$ methanol-chloroform); 1R (KBr) $1720,1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.88-2.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.69-2.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), 3.15 (dd, $J=6.70,12.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H)$, $3.53(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, J=10.81$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.64-4.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right.$, $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 4.03,4.04(2 \mathrm{~s}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 24.18\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.94,30.52$, 31.30, $32.21(\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5 a)), 37.68,37.84\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)\right.$, 50.38. $52.55,52.70,54.68,54.94\left(\mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)\right)$,
62.03, 63.22 ( $\mathrm{C}(3)$ ), 68.49 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 72.15,72.23\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right), 78.13\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right)$, 83.44, 83.57 (C(6)), $89.35,89.58(\mathrm{C}(1)), 167.63,168.74,171.93,174.00$ (C(7), $\mathrm{C}(9)), 175.36,175.49\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum indicated that the product existed as a $1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture. MS (+FAB) $438[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{t}}(+\mathrm{FAB}) 438.1576[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S} 438.1546$ ).

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1) with Benzyl Mercaptan (9) at "pH" 7.7. A solution of $1(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $9(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a tetrahydrofuran-water mixture ( $3: 1,3 \mathrm{~mL}$, " pH " 7.7 ) was stirred at room temperature ( 18 h ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform as the eluent to give compound 16 c : yield $18 \mathrm{mg}(53 \%)$; mp $108-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.75$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform); lR (KBr) $1730,1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 1.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.83-1.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right), 2.17-2.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 2.80\left({ }^{1} / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=13.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.93(1 / 2$ $\left.\mathrm{ABq}, J=13.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.85 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.70-3.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.85 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.92-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 7.20-7.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) 21.10\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.59,32.78(\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 38.85$ $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 56.27(\mathrm{C}(5)), 58.09(\mathrm{C}(3)), 70.67,71.44,71.49\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 84.68$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}(1)\right), 96.01$ (C(9)), 127.86, 129.27, 129.93, 139.21 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 157.90(\mathrm{C}(7)), 194.15(\mathrm{C}(6)) \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI}) 410[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{F}}$ (E1) $409.11934[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{~S} 409.11894$ ).

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1) with Ethyl 2-Mercaptoacetate (10) at "pH" 8.2. To a solution of 1 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.165 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuranwater mixture ( $3: 1,6 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), was added $10(0.036 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.328 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the " pH " of the solution was raised to 8.2 with an aqueous 0.5 N NaOH solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature ( 48 h ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform as the eluent to give compound 16e: yield $8.0 \mathrm{mg}(12 \%) ; R_{f} 0.75$ ( $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.95 (dd, $J=2.40,13.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 2.36 (app $\left.\mathrm{dt}, J=6.52,13.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.30-3.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCH} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right), 3.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, 3.69-3.79 (m,1 H, C(3)H $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), $3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right), 4.00-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H), 4.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H\right), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.05$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

Upon standing (1 day), compound 16e rearranged to compound 17: $\mathrm{mp} 272{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.77$ ( $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform); IR (KBr) 1710, 1660 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.05 (dd, $\left.J=2.39,12.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right), 2.18$ (app dt, $J=5.82,12.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.12.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.74(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{dt}, J=2.39,12.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.83-3.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right), 4.03$ (d, $\left.J=12.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 4.21,4.22(2 \mathrm{q}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). The ethyl 2-mercaptoacetate methine hydrogen is believed to be beneath the $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ peak. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 14.24\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 21.26\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.00(\mathrm{C}(4)), 35.90(\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 54.35(\mathrm{SCHCOO}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 57.96(\mathrm{C}(5)), 60.27(\mathrm{C}(3)), 63.05\left(\mathrm{SCHCOOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $71.10,71.62,72.63\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 84.56,86.20(\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(6))$, $95.20(\mathrm{C}(9)), 173.89,174.61$ (C(7), $\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) ppm. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the APT experiment. MS (+FAB) 406 $[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$.

Reaction of Epoxide 5 with Ethanethiol (6). Preparation of $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}-\mathbf{S}$. Ethylbicyclomycin (2). To a solution of epoxide 5 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.105 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 5 mL ) was added $6(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.541 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature ( 24 h ), and then the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform to give compound 2 as a semisolid: yield 10 mg ( $27 \%$ ); $R_{f} 0.40$ ( $10 \%$ metha-nol-chloroform); $1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=7.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.54-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.39 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.00$ (d, $J=13.39 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H$ ), $3.77-3.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right), 4.23$ (s, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right), 5.13\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 5.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{HH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 15.34\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.01\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.97\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 36.77(\mathrm{C}(4)), 43.07\left(\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 65.55(\mathrm{C}(3))$, $72.29\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right), 79.00\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 82.92(\mathrm{C}(6)), 90.05(\mathrm{C}(1)), 116.75(\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~s}))$, 149.62 (C(5)), $168.68(\mathrm{C}(7)), 172.53(\mathrm{C}(9)) \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(-\mathrm{FAB}) 346$ [M] ${ }^{-}$.
Reaction of $\mathbf{3}$-S-Ethylbicyclomycin (2) with $\boldsymbol{N}$-Acetyl-L-cysteine $N^{\prime}$ 'Methylamide (7) at " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime}$ " $\mathbf{1 0 . 2}$. A solution of $2(8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $7(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran-water ( $3: 1,2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was degassed with argon and stirred at room temperature ( 24 h ) at " pH " 10.2. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified
by PTLC using $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform to give 18: yield 3 mg (23\%) as a semisolid; $R_{f} 0.35$ ( $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform); $1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1690$, $1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.21\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.36 (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.88-2.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})\right.$ $\left.H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 1.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.57\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.68-2.95 (m, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 3.02$, $3.06\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J=13.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.72-4.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right), 4.18,4.20\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right)$, $4.46\left(\right.$ dd, $\left.J=5.16,8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 15.35\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.53\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 26.09,26.41\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.97\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.23,30.73(\mathrm{C}(4)), 31.69,32.20(\mathrm{C}-$ (5a)), 35.12, $35.44\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 43.04\left(\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 52.58(\mathrm{C}(5)), 54.39,54.91$ $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 62.17,63.19(\mathrm{C}(3)), 72.26\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right), 79.01\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 83.47$, $83.57(\mathrm{C}(6)), 89.87(\mathrm{C}(1)), 173.35,173.40\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. The $C(7)$ and $C(9)$ carbon resonances were not detected. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum indicated that the product existed as a $1.7: 1$ diastereomeric mixture. MS (+FAB) $523[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{FAB}) 523.18962[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 523.18963$ ).

Reaction of $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-O-(Ethylcarbamoyl)bicyclomycin (3) with Benzyl Mercaptan (9) at "pH" 7.4. Mercaptan $9(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.255 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $3(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol})$ in Tris buffer ( $0.1 \mathrm{M}, 9: 1$ methanol-water, 8 mL, " $\mathrm{pH}^{7} 7.3$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{~h})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $20 \%$ methanol-chloroform as the eluent to give 19: yield $3.5 \mathrm{mg}(11 \%)$ as a semisolid; $R_{f} 0.55$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform); 1R (KBr) $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=6.46 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.70-2.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.04-3.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H\right)$, $3.60-4.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.20-7.30$ (m, $\left.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 15.27,15.35\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $\left.24.29,24.39\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.06,30.17,31.56(\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 36.58,37.38$ $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 52.29(\mathrm{C}(5)), 61.83,62.13(\mathrm{C}(3)), 70.63(\mathrm{C}-$ ( $\left.3^{\prime}\right)$ ), $71.79\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right), 77.06\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 83.69(\mathrm{C}(6)), 89.48$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}(1)\right), 127.92$, $129.42,130.05,140.07\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. The carbonyl peaks were not detected. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis indicated that the product existed as a $1.6: 1$ diastereomeric mixture. MS (+FAB) $498[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{FAB})$ $498.19149[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S} 498.19101$ ).

Reaction of Bicyclomycin- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-acetonide (4) with Sodium Methanethiolate at " pH " 12.5. A tetrahydrofuran-water ( $1: 1$ ) mixture ( 1 mL ) (" pH " 12.5 ) of 4 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium methanethiolate ( 3.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at room temperature ( 30 min ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC (silica gel) using $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform as the eluent to yield 15 a ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 122-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.60$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform); 1R ( KBr ) 1690 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.88-2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 3.02-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{HH}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.75-4.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right), 4.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right), 4.45$ ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $15.65\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 24.68\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.85,28.13\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 29.98,30.54$, $32.79,34.57(\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 52.20(\mathrm{C}(5)), 63.60(\mathrm{C}(3)), 73.34,73.90$ ( $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ ), $83.75(\mathrm{C}(6)), 86.22$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 88.55(\mathrm{C}(1)), 111.68$ (C(C$\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 168.34(\mathrm{C}(7)), 171.49(\mathrm{C}(9))$ ppm. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the APT experiment. MS (+FAB) $391[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$.

Hydrolysis of $S$-Methylbicyclomycin- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-acetonide (15a). A solution of $15 \mathrm{a}(9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $50 \%$ aqueous acetic acid ( 1 mL ) was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~min})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform to yield 14a ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ) as a semisolid: $R_{f} 0.40$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform); IR (KBr) $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ), 2.02-2.42 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, $3.02-3.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.52\left(\mathrm{t}, J=12.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, $3.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.72-4.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right)$, $4.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) 15.63, 15.79 $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 24.20\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.06,30.65(\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 33.18,34.23(\mathrm{C}(4))$, $52.10(\mathrm{C}(5)), 62.17,63.36(\mathrm{C}(3)), 68.55\left(\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 72.62,72.73\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right)$, 78.03 (C(2')), 83.71 (C(6)), 89.35 (C(1)), 168.71, 172.00 (C(7), C(9)) $\mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis indicated that the product existed as a 1.9:1 diastereomeric mixture. MS (+FAB) $351[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$.

Reaction of Bicyclomycin- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-acetonide (4) with Benzyl Mercaptan (9) at " pH " 7.4. To a solution of 4 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a Tris buffer ( $0.1 \mathrm{M}, 9: 1$ methanol-water, 3 mL , " pH " 7.4 ) was added 9 ( 0.01 mL , $0.085 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{~h})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by PTLC using $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform as the eluent to give compound $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ : yield 4.0 mg ( $29 \%$ ) as a semisolid; $R_{f} 0.60$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform); $1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.35,1.41,1.44,1.45(4 \mathrm{~s}$,
$\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.85-2.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\right.$ (5a) $H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), $3.11-3.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.65-3.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SC} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.76-3.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right)$, 4.07, 4.08 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.41-4.46 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 7.18-7.35$ (m, $\left.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 24.84\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.89,28.21$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 29.88,30.32,31.61(\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})), 37.36\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 52.35$ (C(5)), 63.38, 63.52 (C(3)), 73.23, 73.33, 73.49 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 83.74$ ( $\mathrm{C}(6)), 86.34\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 88.71(\mathrm{C}(1)), 111.64\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 127.93,129.42 \text {, }}\right.$ $130.07,140.05\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 168.33(\mathrm{C}(7)), 171.53(\mathrm{C}(9)) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis indicated that the product existed as a $1.6: 1$ diastereomeric mixture. MS (+FAB) $467[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{FAB}) 467.18578[\mathrm{M}$ $+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S} 467.18520$ )

Reaction of Bicyclomycin- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-acetonide (4) and $\boldsymbol{N}$-Acetyl-L-cysteine $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Methylamide (7) at " ${ }_{\mathrm{pH}}{ }^{\prime}$ " $\mathbf{1 0 . 2}$. A solution of acetonide 4 ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026$ mmol ) and $7(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran-water ( $3: 1,2.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was degassed with argon and stirred at room temperature ( 16 h ) at " pH " 10.2. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform to give 15c as a semisolid: yield 8 mg ( $59 \%$ ); $R_{f} 0.50$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform); IR (KBr) 1680 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.86-1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 1.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, 2.14-2.26 (m, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.65-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCHH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 2.72,2.73\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}\right), 2.81-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, SCH $\left.H^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.15-3.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.78-4.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right), 4.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right)$, 4.43-4.48 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CD $\mathrm{COD}_{3} 22.54$ $\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 24.78\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.43,26.87,28.20\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 30.14,30.60,31.40,32.51$ (C(4), C(5a)), 35.05, 35.43 (SC$\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 50.43,52.68(\mathrm{C}(5)), 54.45,54.90\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 63.62(\mathrm{C}(3))$, 73.29, 73.57 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right), 83.66$ (C(6)), 86.31 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 88.66$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}(1)\right)$, $111.69\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 168.19(\mathrm{C}(7)), 171.50(\mathrm{C}(9)), 173.22,173.30,173.37}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CONHCH}_{3}, \mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(-\mathrm{FAB}) 518[\mathrm{M}]^{-}$

Reaction of Bicyclomycin- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-acetonide (4) and $\boldsymbol{N}$-Acetyl-L-cysteine $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Methylamide (7) at " $\mathbf{p H}$ " 12.5. A solution of acetonide 4 ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $7(3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran-water ( $1: 1,1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was degassed with argon and stirred at room temperature ( 30 min ) at " pH " 12.5. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform to give 15c as a semisolid: yield 2 mg ( $26 \%$ ); $R_{f} 0.50$ ( $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform); IR ( KBr ) $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44$ (s, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.86-1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 1.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, 2.14-2.26 (m, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.65-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{SCHH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $2.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 2.81-2.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH} H^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $3.15-3.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H} H^{\prime}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, 3.78-4.04 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}\right), 4.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}\right), 4.43-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$.

Reaction of Dihydrobicyclomycin (14e) at pH 12.5. A solution of $14 \mathrm{e}^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.082 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( $3 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{pH} 12.5$ ) was stirred at room temperature ( 45 min ). The reaction mixture was neutralized with aqueous 1 N HCl and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by PTLC using $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform to give compound 13d: yield $9 \mathrm{mg}(36 \%)$ as a semisolid; $R_{f} 0.40$ ( $15 \%$ methanol-chloroform); 1R (KBr) $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.02-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.70-1.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, $1.95-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H} H), 2.20-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.95$ ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{HH}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) H \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 4.01-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} H$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) 13.13,13.27,15.57,15.63$ (C(5a)), 21.10, 23.43 $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.94,33.23,33.46(\mathrm{C}(4)), 42.77,42.95(\mathrm{C}(5)), 68.30$ $68.34,68.45,68.52(\mathrm{C}(3)), 76.95,77.96,78.72,80.61\left(\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 89.70(\mathrm{C}(1)), 106.36(\mathrm{C}(6)), 175.47(\mathrm{C}(7)$ or $\mathrm{C}(9)) \mathrm{ppm}$. The remaining carbonyl carbon could not be detected. MS (+FAB) 305 [M $+1]^{+} ; M_{\mathrm{r}}(+\mathrm{FAB}) 305.13362[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ 305.13488)

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1), 3'-S-Ethylbicyclomycin (2), and $\boldsymbol{N}$ -Acetyl-L-cysteine $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Methylamide (7) at " $\mathbf{p H}{ }^{\prime}$ " 10.2. A solution of $\mathbf{1}$ (1.75 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2 ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 7 ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran-water $(3: 1,0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with the use of argon and was stirred at room temperature at "pH" 10.2 ( 18 h ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was analyzed by TLC ( $20 \%$ methanol-chloroform). Unreacted 2 ( $R_{f} 0.80$, major) and 1 ( $R_{f} 0.45$, minor) were detected along with 14 c ( $R_{f} 0.35$, minor), 16b ( $R_{f} 0.60$ major), and 18 ( $R_{f} 0.50$, minor). The identity of each product was confirmed by cospotting the reaction mixture with an authentic sample.

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1), Bicyclomycin- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-acetonide (4) and $N$-Acetyl-L-cysteine $N^{\prime}$-Methylamide (7) at " $\mathbf{p H}$ " 10.5. A solution of 1 $(8.83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}), 4(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $7(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029$ mmol ) in tetrahydrofuran-water ( $3: 1,3.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was degassed with the use
of argon and was stirred at room temperature at " $\mathrm{pH}^{\prime} 10.5$ ( 18 h ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was analyzed by TLC ( $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform). Unreacted $1\left(R_{f} 0.35\right)$ and $4\left(R_{f} 0.60\right)$ were detected along with 14c ( $R_{f} 0.30$ ), 16b ( $R_{f} 0.40$ ), and 15c ( $R_{f} 0.45$ ). The identity of each product was confirmed by cospotting the reaction mixture with an authentic sample.

Reaction of Bicyclomycin (1), Bicyclomycin- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-acetonide (4), and $\boldsymbol{N}$-Acetyl-L-cysteine $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Methylamide (7) at "pH" 12.5. A solution of 1 $(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}), 4(5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $7(2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran-water ( $1: 1,2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was degassed with argon and stirred at room temperature ( 30 min ) at " pH " 12.5 . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was analyzed by TLC ( $20 \%$ metha-nol-chloroform). Unreacted 4 ( $R_{f} 0.75$ ) was detected along with 13b ( $R_{f}$ 0.30 ) and $15 \mathrm{c}\left(R_{f} 0.60\right)$. The identity of each product was confirmed by cospotting the reaction mixture with an authentic sample

General Procedure for the ${ }^{18} \mathbf{O}$-Incorporation Studies of Compounds 1, 2, and 4 at " pH " 7.8 and $\mathbf{1 0 . 2}$. Solutions of 1,2 , or $4(5 \mathrm{mg})$ in tetrahydrofuran ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-enriched water $\left(98 \%{ }^{18} \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)(3: 1,0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ were stirred at room temperture at either " pH " 7.8 or 10.2 ( $16-24 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by PTLC using $10 \%$ methanol-chloroform, and the desired compound (1, 2, or 4) was analyzed by mass spectrometry (-FAB). Comparison of the relative intensities of the $m / z 303[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}+2]^{-}$and $m / z 304[\mathrm{M}+2]^{-}$ions for recovered 1 versus that of authentic, unreacted bicyclomycin indicated that $20-30 \%^{18} \mathrm{O}$ incorporation had occurred in both the " pH " 7.8 and 10.2 experiments. Correspondingly, no detectable ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ incorporation was observed in reactions performed with either $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{4}$ at " pH " 7.8 and 10.2.

X-ray Analysis of 17. Single crystals of $\mathbf{1 7}$ suitable for X-ray analysis was obtained from methanol. The data crystal (approximate dimensions: $0.50 \times 0.08 \times 0.07 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was mounted on a glass fiber in a random orientation on a Nicolet $\mathrm{R} 3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{V}$ automatic diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ monochromatized by a highly ordered graphite crystal. Final cell constants, as well as other information pertinent to data collection and refinement, are listed in Table 3 (Supplementary Material). The structure was solved by use of the SHELXTL direct methods program, which revealed the positions of most of the non-hydrogen atoms. The remaining atoms were located in subsequent difference Fourier syntheses. All hydrogens attached to carbons were added in ideal calculated positions and constrained to riding motion, while the other hydrogens were found in difference maps and allowed to refine. Mild distance constraints had to be applied to H 17 , and H 16 had to be fixed in order to prevent them from moving to unreasonable $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ angles. Due to the small a mount of observed data which could be obtained from this sample, only the sulfur atom was refined anisotropically. All other atoms were refined isotropically, with a single variable isotropic thermal parameter for all of the hydrogens. No attempt was made to determine the absolute configuration experimentally, rather the configuration was arbitrarily adjusted so as to match that of the known starting material. ${ }^{28}$ After all shift-esd ratios were less than 0.7 (except those involving hydrogens), convergence was reached at the agreement factors listed in Table 3. No unusually high correlations were noted between any of the non-hydrogen variables in the last cycle of full-matrix least squares refinement, and the final difference density map showed a maximum peak of about $0.4 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$. All calculations were made by use of Nicolet's SHELXTL PLUS (1987) serles of crystallographic programs.
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